

## **PATENT COOPERATION TREATY**

# **PCT**

### INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

• •	r agen	t's file reference	FOR FURTHER ACTION		See Notification of Transmittal of International Preliminary Examination Report (PCT/IPEA/416)		
137581		-E M-					
International application No.			International filing date (day/month/year)		Priority date (day/month/year)		
PCT/GB9			05/02/1998		19/02/1997		
		t Classification (IPC) or na	ational classification and IPC				
H04N7/30	•						
Applicant		<u> </u>					
• •	rech	NOLOGY GROUP L	IMITED et al				
		Nozour Grioor E					
1. This in and is	ternat transr	ional preliminary exam nitted to the applicant a	ination report has been prepared by according to Article 36.	this Int	ernational Preliminary Examining Authority		
2. This REPORT consists of a total of 5 sheets, including this cover sheet.							
This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, i.e., sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis for this report and/or sheets containing rectifications made before this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions under the PCT).							
These annexes consist of a total of sheets.							
3. This re	port c	ontains indications rela	ating to the following items:				
ı	Ø	Basis of the report					
H		Priority					
411		Non-establishment o	f opinion with regard to novelty, inver	ntive st	tep and industrial applicability		
IV		Lack of unity of inver	ntion				
V	☒		under Article 35(2) with regard to no ations supporting such statement	velty,	inventive step or industrial applicability;		
VI		Certain documents of	ited				
VII	$\boxtimes$	Certain defects in the	e international application				
VIII		Certain observations	on the international application				
Date of sub	missio	n of the demand	Date of com	pletion	of this report 2 8. 10. 98		
07/09/199	98						
Name and r	nailing	address of the IPEA/	Authorized	officer	STATE OF THE PARTY		
	Eur	opean Patent Office		~r -			
		0298 Munich . (+49-89) 2399-0, Tx: 523	D/L FUEI	NIEC	7EL, P		
<u> </u>	101	(+43-03) 2333-0, 1X: 523		Na. 7. 4	0 80/ 3300-8608		



International application No. PCT/GB98/00360

#### I. Basis of the report

1. This report has been drawn on the basis of (substitute sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to the report since they do not contain amendments.):

		•					
	Des	scription, pages:					
	1-1:	3	as originally filed				
	Cla	ims, No.:					
	1-2	1	as originally filed				
	Drawings, sheets:						
	1/1		as originally filed				
2.	The	amendments have	e resulted in the cancellation of:				
		the description,	pages:				
		the claims,	Nos.:				
		the drawings,	sheets:				
3.		This report has be considered to go	his report has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have bee onsidered to go beyond the disclosure as filed (Rule 70.2(c)):				
4.	Add	ditional observation	ns, if necessary:				



International application No. PCT/GB98/00360

- V. Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
- 1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes:

Claims 1-21

No:

Claims

Inventive step (IS)

Yes:

Claims 1-21

No: Claims

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes:

Claims 1-21

No:

Claims

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

VII. Certain defects in the international application

The following defects in the form or contents of the international application have been noted:

see separate sheet

#### Ad section V:

- I. Independent claim 1:
- Independent claim 1 defines a method of compressing an image which is based a. on the traditional and well-known technique of subdivision of an image into blocks. The blocks are processed by a two dimensional transform (e.g. DCT) in order to obtain coefficients which range from most important (DC coefficient) to least important and which are quantized to generate corresponding digital values expressed as a plurality of bit planes for each block (e.g. MSB to LSB). The principle underlying the claimed method is the transmission of coded data on a bit plane basis starting from the most significant bit plane (the bit plane containing the MSBs). For this purpose, significant coefficients are selected, on the basis of their bit value for each plane, on each considered bit plane so that for each selected coefficient all the remaining bit planes are marked for transmission. The process is carried out iteratively so that whenever a new plane is considered any newly become significant coefficient on the basis of its bit value for said plane is marked and transmitted. Eventually, all planes will be transmitted. This method is embedded so that the bit stream can be stopped at almost any point and still allow a partial reconstitution of the picture. The principle of coefficient switching allows for compression efficiency as a given coefficient will only be transmitted whenever it becomes "significant".

The closest prior art is considered to be D1 = WALLACE G K: "THE JPEG STILL PICTURE COMPRESSION STANDARD" COMMUNICATIONS OF THE ASSOCIATION FOR COMPUTING MACHINERY, vol. 34, no. 4, 1 April 1991, pages 30-44, XP000228786 which gives an overview of the JPEG compression principle. In the JPEG coding method, the DCT progressive mode might be considered to be the closest to the claimed method. This DCT progressive mode calls for an encoding in which each image component is encoded in multiple scans rather than in a single scan in the sequential JPEG encoding. This encoding is hierarchical in that a first scan encodes a rough version of the image which thereafter is refined by succeeding scans. The partial encoding is carried out by either "spectral selection", i.e.most significant coefficients are transmitted first or by the partial transmission of the coefficient values (e.g. only the MSBs are transmitted).

However, the difference between the application and D1 is that, in the application,

coefficients are transmitted only when they become significant (i.e some coefficients might not be transmitted at all) whereas in D1 all coefficient are eventually transmitted.

The claimed features are neither rendered obvious by nor disclosed in cited documents.

- Independent claim 17: 2.
- Claim 17 is the independent claim defining the apparatus adapted to carry out the a. method disclosed in claim 1. Therefore, the same conclusions apply to claim 17.
- Dependent claims: 3.
- The dependent claims define preferred embodiments of the method and a. apparatus respectively defined at claims 1 and 17.

#### Ad section VII:

In a further procedure, document D1 (see under section V) might be acknowledged (Rule 5.1 (a)ii PCT) and the independent claims might be accordingly worded in the two-part form (Rule 6.3(b) PCT).